

What are Super-real Fields?

Andrés Eduardo Caicedo

University of California, Berkeley

October 6, 2000

I. Algebras of Continuous Functions

Definition 1 *An algebra is a (real or complex) vector space with a multiplication \cdot (and possibly with extra structure)*

$$\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{A}, +, \cdot, \dots)$$

such that

$$\mathcal{A} \models (\forall x, y, z) (\quad x(yz) = (xy)z, \\ x(y + z) = xy + xz, \\ \text{and } (x + y)z = xz + yz \quad).$$

Let \leq be a partial ordering of A . Denote by A^+ or \mathcal{A}^+ the nonnegative elements of A :

$$A^+ = \{a \in A : \mathcal{A} \models a \geq 0\}.$$

We say that \mathcal{A} is an *ordered algebra* if and only if

$$\mathcal{A} \models (\forall x, y, z) (x < y \rightarrow x + z < y + z \text{ and } z + x < z + y)$$

and $ab \in \mathcal{A}^+$ whenever $a, b \in \mathcal{A}^+$.

An algebra \mathcal{A} is *normable* if and only if there is a map $\| \cdot \| : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ such that for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ and all scalars r ,

1. $\|a\| \geq 0$, $\|a\| = 0$ only for $a = 0$;
2. $\|ra\| = |r|\|a\|$;
3. $\|a + b\| \leq \|a\| + \|b\|$; and
4. $\|ab\| \leq \|a\|\|b\|$.

It is *unital* if and only if there is an element $1 \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\mathcal{A} \models (\forall x) x1 = 1x = x.$$

If \mathcal{A} is normable, it can be assumed that $\|1\| = 1$.

It is a *Banach algebra* if and only if, moreover, $(\mathcal{A}, \| \cdot \|)$ is complete.

All the algebras we consider are assumed to be commutative.

In this talk, we restrict our attention to a specific kind of algebras: The spaces $C(X)$ of continuous, and $C^b(X)$ of continuous and bounded, real-valued functions with domain a topological space X , and their quotients.

$C(X)$ and $C^b(X)$ are ordered algebras under the ordering

$$f \leq g \Leftrightarrow (\forall x \in X) f(x) \leq g(x).$$

The first result is that we can restrict our attention to the case where X is completely regular.

Theorem 1 For any topological space X there is a completely regular space Y and a continuous surjection

$$\tau : X \rightarrow Y$$

such that the map $g \mapsto g \circ \tau$ is an isomorphism of $C(Y)$ onto $C(X)$ and of $C^b(Y)$ onto $C^b(X)$.

Proof For $x, y \in X$, say $x \sim y$ if and only if $f(x) = f(y)$ for all $f \in C(X)$. Let

$$Y = X / \sim$$

and let τ be the quotient map. Turn Y into a completely regular topological space by endowing it with the *weak topology* induced by

$$C = \{g \in {}^Y\mathbb{R} : g \circ \tau \in C(X)\},$$

that is, the smallest topology for which

$$C \subset C(Y).$$

This works. \square

From now on, all our spaces are completely regular.

Let me recall some standard constructions:

Let \mathcal{A} be a real or complex algebra. A *character* on \mathcal{A} is a homomorphism from \mathcal{A} onto \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} , respectively.

Definition 2 $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the space of characters on \mathcal{A} .

If \mathcal{A} is a complex Banach algebra, then

$\Phi_{\mathcal{A}} \subset C(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C})$ and $\|\varphi\| \leq 1$ for each $\varphi \in \Phi_{\mathcal{A}}$, so $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a subset of the closed unit ball of \mathcal{A}' .

The weak-* topology on \mathcal{A}' makes $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}$ a locally compact space. For \mathcal{A} unital, it is in fact compact and nonempty.

Definition 3 Let A be a unital complex Banach algebra. The Gelfand transform of A is the homomorphism $\hat{\cdot} : A \rightarrow C(\Phi_A, \mathbb{C})$ given by

$$\hat{a}(\varphi) = \varphi(a) \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in \Phi_A.$$

Let $\|\cdot\|_X$ be the sup norm. Then $(C^b(X, \mathbb{C}), \|\cdot\|_X)$ is a Banach algebra.

Notice that $\Phi_{C^b(X, \mathbb{C})} \neq \emptyset$; for example, let $x \in X$, and define φ_x by

$$\varphi_x(f) = f(x).$$

Then $\varphi_x \in \Phi_{C^b(X, \mathbb{C})}$.

Theorem 2 $\Phi_{C^b(X, \mathbb{C})} \cong \beta X$, the Stone-Čech compactification of X . The Gelfand transform $f \mapsto \hat{f}$ is an isometric isomorphism between $C^b(X, \mathbb{C})$ and $C(\beta X, \mathbb{C})$. \square

II. Ideals and Filters

We are interested in quotients of $C(X)$ given by (proper) ideals. The most useful ideals for our purposes satisfy an extra condition defined in terms of zero sets.

Definition 4 For $f \in C(X)$, the zero set of f is

$$Z_f(X) = Z(f) = \{x \in X : f(x) = 0\}.$$

For I an ideal in $C(X)$, let

$$Z[I] = \{Z(f) : f \in I\},$$

and write $Z(X) = Z[C(X)]$.

Example 1 If X is a metric space, then $Z(X)$ is the family of closed subsets of X .

Definition 5 A nonempty $\mathcal{F} \subset Z(X)$ is a z -filter if and only if:

1. $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{F}$,
2. $F_1 \cap F_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ for $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{F}$, and
3. if $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and $F \subset G \in Z(X)$, then $G \in \mathcal{F}$.

A z -filter \mathcal{U} maximal under inclusion is called a z -ultrafilter.

Example 2 If X is discrete, $C(X) = {}^X\mathbb{R}$ and $Z(X) = \mathcal{P}(X)$, so a z -filter is just a filter in the Boolean algebra $(\mathcal{P}(X), \subseteq)$.

Definition 6 For \mathcal{F} a z -filter on X , set

$$Z^{-1}[\mathcal{F}] = \{ f \in C(X) : Z(f) \in \mathcal{F} \}.$$

Theorem 3 *Let I be an ideal in $C(X)$ and \mathcal{F} a z -filter on X . Then*

- $Z[I]$ is a z -filter.
- $I \subset Z^{-1}[Z[I]]$.
- $Z^{-1}[\mathcal{F}]$ is an ideal.
- $\mathcal{F} = Z[Z^{-1}[\mathcal{F}]]$. \square

Definition 7 *A z -ideal I is one such that*

$$I = Z^{-1}[Z[I]].$$

So, I is a z -ideal if and only if $g \in I$ whenever $Z(f) = Z(g)$ for some $f \in I$.

Not all ideals are z -ideals; for example, let $X = \mathbb{R}$ and $I = (\text{id})$. Then

$$Z^{-1}[Z[I]] = \{f \in C(\mathbb{R}) : f(0) = 0\} \supsetneq I.$$

Theorem 4 *Let I be an ideal in $C(X)$ and \mathcal{F} a z -filter on X . Then*

- $Z^{-1}[\mathcal{F}]$ is a z -ideal.
- $Z^{-1}[Z[I]]$ is the minimum z -ideal containing I . \square

Any maximal ideal \mathcal{M} is a z -ideal.

Theorem 5 *Let \mathcal{M} be a maximal ideal and \mathcal{U} a z -ultrafilter. Then*

- $Z[\mathcal{M}]$ is a z -ultrafilter.
- $Z^{-1}[\mathcal{U}]$ is a maximal ideal. \square

For $Z \in \mathcal{Z}(X)$, let $\text{cl}(Z)$ denote its closure inside βX . For any $Z_1, Z_2 \in \mathcal{Z}(X)$, it is the case that

$$\text{cl}(Z_1 \cap Z_2) = \text{cl}Z_1 \cap \text{cl}Z_2.$$

Thus, if \mathcal{U} is a z -ultrafilter on X ,

$$\mathcal{G} = \{ \text{cl}Z : Z \in \mathcal{U} \}$$

has the finite intersection property. By maximality of \mathcal{U} ,

$$\bigcap \mathcal{G} = \{p_{\mathcal{U}}\}$$

is a singleton.

For $p \in \beta X$,

$$\mathcal{U}_p = \{ Z \in \mathcal{Z}(X) : p \in \text{cl}Z \}$$

is a z -ultrafilter on X .

Theorem 6 (*Gelfand-Kolmogorov*) *The correspondence $\mathcal{U} \leftrightarrow p$ is a bijection, and so the points of βX correspond to the maximal ideals of $C(X)$. \square*

III. Quotients

Let \mathcal{A} be an algebra; I an ideal in \mathcal{A} ; and $S \subset \mathcal{A}$ a non-empty set, disjoint from I , and closed under multiplication. Then there is a prime ideal Q with $I \subset Q$ and $Q \cap S = \emptyset$.

Thus, the intersection of all the prime ideals extending I is the set of elements of which some power belongs to I .

Prime ideals are particularly useful, because if P is prime in \mathcal{A} , then \mathcal{A}/P is an integral domain.

In the case $\mathcal{A} = C(X)$, we can say a great deal about its prime ideals. For example:

Theorem 7 *Let P be a prime ideal in $C(X)$.*

1. *For any $f \in C(X)$, either $f \in f^+ + P$, or $f \in f^- + P$.*
2. *The prime ideals containing P are totally ordered by inclusion.*
3. *Any z -ideal containing P is a prime ideal.*
4. *There is a unique maximal ideal containing P .*

Proof We give a proof of 1. and 3.:

1. $f = f^+ + f^-$, but $f^+ f^- = 0 \in P$.
3. Suppose $I \supset P$ is a z -ideal. Since $Z(f^n) = Z(f)$ for all f and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, I is the intersection of all the prime ideals containing it. But by 2., this set is a chain. Hence, I is prime. \square

Definition 8 *Let P be prime in $C(X)$. Then*

$$A_P = C(X)/P.$$

Let π_P be the quotient map. For $a, b \in A_P$, say that $a \geq b$ if and only if there are $f, g \in C(X)$ with $f - g \in C(X)^+$, $a = \pi_P(f)$ and $b = \pi_P(g)$.

A_P so defined, is a commutative, unital algebra.

\leq is a total ordering of A_P , because since

$\pi_P(f) \in \{\pi_P(f^+), \pi_P(f^-)\}$ for any f , then either $\pi_P(f) \geq 0$ or $\pi_P(f) \leq 0$.

Clearly, (A_P, \leq) is an ordered algebra.

In the case P is actually maximal, much more can be said:

If \mathcal{M} is a maximal ideal in $C(X)$, then $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}}$ is an integral domain with no non-trivial ideals. Thus, it is a field. We identify \mathbb{R} with the obvious copy of it inside $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}}$.

Definition 9 *Let K be a field properly extending \mathbb{R} . K is hyper-real if and only if K is isomorphic (via a map fixing \mathbb{R}) to some $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}}$ with \mathcal{M} maximal in some $C(X)$.*

Example 3 *Let X be discrete, and let \mathcal{M} be maximal in $C(X) = {}^X\mathbb{R}$. Let $\mathcal{U} = Z[\mathcal{M}]$. Then \mathcal{U} is an ultrafilter on X and*

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}} = \mathbb{R}^X / \mathcal{U}$$

is the ultrapower of \mathbb{R} by \mathcal{U} .

Many features of this example hold in general:

Theorem 8 *Let \mathcal{M} be maximal. Then $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}}$ is a real-closed field. \square*

In fact, Loś's theorem holds:

Theorem 9 *Let \mathcal{M} be maximal, and set $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{Z}[\mathcal{M}]$. For any $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}}$ and any formula ϕ in the language of ordered rings,*

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}} \models \phi(\pi_{\mathcal{M}}(f_1), \dots, \pi_{\mathcal{M}}(f_n)) \iff \{x \in X : \mathbb{R} \models \phi(f_1(x), \dots, f_n(x))\} \in \mathcal{U}.$$

Proof For atomic formulas this is immediate from the definition. The inductive step for \wedge and \neg are clear.

Since $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}}$ is a real-closed field, any formula ϕ is equivalent to a quantifier-free formula ϕ' . This implies the result. \square

Also, as in the case of ultrapowers, hyper-real fields are \aleph_1 -saturated. We are mainly concerned with a consequence of saturation:

Definition 10 *Let (\mathbb{P}, \leq) be a totally ordered set. Say that \mathbb{P} is an η_1 -set whenever, for all countable $S_1, S_2 \subset \mathbb{P}$, if*

$$(\forall x \in S_1)(\forall y \in S_2) \mathbb{P} \models x < y,$$

then there is some $s \in \mathbb{P}$ such that

$$(\forall x \in S_1)(\forall y \in S_2) \mathbb{P} \models x < s < y.$$

Theorem 10 *Let K be a hyper-real field. Then K is an η_1 -set. \square*

Theorem 11 [1] *Let K be a real-closed, η_1 -field. Then*

$$|K| = |K|^{\aleph_0}. \quad \square$$

Theorem 12 [1] *Let κ be a cardinal such that $\kappa^{\aleph_0} = \kappa$. Then there is a hyper-real field K such that $|K| = \kappa$. \square*

Corollary 1 [1] *Assume GCH. Then not every hyper-real field is an ultrapower.*

Proof Let $\kappa = \beth_{\omega_1}$. Then $\kappa^{\aleph_0} = \kappa$ and there are hyper-real fields of size κ .

Under GCH, results of Keisler and Prikry imply that for any ultrapower K of \mathbb{R} , if $|K| = \kappa > \mathfrak{c}$, then $\kappa^{\aleph_1} = \kappa$.

Since, by König's lemma, $\beth_{\omega_1}^{\aleph_1} > \beth_{\omega_1}$, the result follows. \square

Open question:

- (Without extra assumptions) are there hyper-real fields which are not ultrapowers?

Arguing as above, a strong positive answer would be a consequence of:

- Let κ be a strong limit cardinal of cofinality bigger than ω . Then no ultrapower of \mathbb{R} has size κ .

Unfortunately, such a general statement does not hold. Shelah and Jin [6] have shown that is consistent to have counterexamples assuming the existence of supercompact cardinals.

It would suffice to show that no ultraproduct of \mathbb{R} can have size κ for κ singular strong limit of cofinality ω_1 . But even the case $\kappa = \beth_{\omega_1}$ is still open.

Theorem 13 (CH) *Let \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} be nonprincipal ultrafilters on \mathbb{N} . Then*

$$\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}/\mathcal{U} \cong \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}/\mathcal{V}.$$

Proof Being hyper-real fields, both fields are real-closed η_1 -sets. Since $|\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}| = \mathfrak{c}$, they both have size \mathfrak{c} .

Let K be a real-closed field with transcendence basis over \mathbb{R} of size at most \aleph_1 . Say

$\{a_\sigma : \sigma < \omega_1\}$ is such a basis. Define inductively

- $K_0 = \mathbb{R}$.
- $K_{\alpha+1} \subset K$ is the real-closure of $K_\alpha(a_\alpha)$, i.e., $K_{\alpha+1}$ is real-closed and algebraic over $K_\alpha(a_\alpha)$.
- $K_\lambda = \bigcup \{K_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda\}$ for λ limit.

Thus, $K = \bigcup_\alpha K_\alpha$.

A (somewhat careful) back-and-forth argument using such a representation for $K = \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}/\mathcal{U}$ and $K = \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}/\mathcal{V}$ completes the proof, once we show that every hyper-real field has transcendence degree over \mathbb{R} at least \mathfrak{c} .

But this follows easily: Let $R \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a vector space basis for \mathbb{R} over \mathbb{Q} . Let K be a hyper-real field, and let $u \in K$ be infinitely large. Then

$$\{u^r : r \in R\}$$

is algebraically independent over \mathbb{R} ; in effect, if

$$p(X_1, \dots, X_k) = \sum_{s=1}^m a_s X_1^{n_{s,1}} \dots X_k^{n_{s,k}}$$

is a nonzero polynomial in $\mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_k]$, then $|p(u^{r_1}, \dots, u^{r_k})|$ is infinitely large whenever $r_1, \dots, r_k \in R$ are distinct. \square

IV. Super-real fields

Now suppose P is a prime ideal in $C(X)$, but not necessarily maximal. Then \mathcal{A}_P does not need to be a field.

For P as above, let K_P be the quotient field of \mathcal{A}_P .

Definition 11 *Let K be a field properly extending \mathbb{R} . K is super-real if and only if K is isomorphic (via a map fixing \mathbb{R}) to some K_P where P is prime in some $C(X)$.*

So every hyper-real field, and in particular every ultrapower of \mathbb{R} , is a super-real field. In fact, we can restrict our attention to the case where the underlying space X is compact:

Theorem 14 *Let \mathcal{M} be a maximal ideal in $C(X)$, and let $K = \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}}$. Let $P = C^b(X) \cap \mathcal{M}$, considered as a z -ideal in $C(\beta X)$. Then $K \cong K_P$. \square*

Unless otherwise stated, from now on $X = \Omega$ is assumed to be compact.

Theorem 15 *Let P be prime in $C(\Omega)$. Then there is an $x_P \in \Omega$ such that*

$$\{x_P\} = \bigcap Z[P].$$

Proof Let $h(P) = \bigcap Z[P]$. Then $h(P)$ is non-empty, since $Z[P]$ has the finite intersection property. Suppose $x_1, x_2 \in h(P)$ are different.

Let U_1, U_2 be neighborhoods of x_1, x_2 , respectively, such that $\bar{U}_1 \cap \bar{U}_2 = \emptyset$.

Let $f_1, f_2 \in C(\Omega)$ be such that $f_i(x_i) = 1$ and $f_i|_{(\Omega \setminus U_i)} = 0$. Then $f_1 f_2 = 0$, but $f_1, f_2 \notin P$, contradiction. \square

Definition 12 A totally ordered set (\mathbb{P}, \leq) is semi- η_1 if and only if it has no (ω, ω) -gaps:

Whenever $\mathbb{P} \models s_1 < s_2 < \cdots < t_2 < t_1$, there is some $s \in \mathbb{P}$ such that for all n, m ,

$$\mathbb{P} \models s_n < s < t_m.$$

So \mathbb{R} is semi- η_1 , but not η_1 .

Theorem 16 Let P be prime in $C(\Omega)$. Then \mathcal{A}_P is semi- η_1 .

Proof Given sequences (a_n) and (b_n) in \mathcal{A}_P such that $a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < t_2 < t_1$, inductively choose $f_n, g_n \in C(\Omega)$ such that $f_1 < f_2 < \cdots < g_2 < g_1$, $\pi_P(f_n) = a_n$ and $\pi_P(g_n) = t_n$.

Let $x = x_P$. We may also assume that $f_n(x) \leq 0 \leq g_n(x)$ for all n .

Since the result is clear if $f_n(x) < 0 < g_n(x)$ for all n , suppose (without loss) that $f_m(x) = 0$ for all x .

Set

$$f = f_1 + \sum_k (f_{k+1} - f_k) \wedge 2^{-k}.$$

Then $f \in C(\Omega)$ and $a = \pi_P(f)$ interpolates (a_n) and (b_n) . \square

Theorem 17 *Let Ω be compact and let P be prime in $C(\Omega)$. Then K_P is real-closed.*

Proof A real field K is real-closed if and only if its complexification $K(i)$ is algebraically closed.

It is easy to see that the correspondence

$$R \mapsto R_{\mathbb{C}} = \{ f \in C(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) : |f| \in R \}$$

is an inclusion-preserving bijection between the set of prime ideals of $C(\Omega)$ and that of $C(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$.

It is also easy to see that $K_P(i)$ is isomorphic to the quotient field of $\mathcal{A}_{P_{\mathbb{C}}}(\mathbb{C})$.

Finally, it is not too hard to see that $K_P(i)$ is algebraically closed if and only if every *monic* $p \in \mathcal{A}_{P_C}(\mathbb{C})[X]$ has a root in $\mathcal{A}_{P_C}(\mathbb{C})$.

Let $f_0, \dots, f_{n-1} \in C(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$, and for $x \in \Omega$ let

$$p_x = f_0(x) + f_1(x)X + \dots + f_{n-1}(x)X^{n-1} + X^n,$$

so $p_x \in \mathbb{C}[X]$.

Using Rouché's theorem it can be shown that if $z_1(x), \dots, z_n(x)$ are the roots of p_x , listed so that

$$\Re z_1(x) \leq \dots \leq \Re z_n(x),$$

then the functions $r_k = \Re z_k$ are continuous on Ω .

A similar argument with the imaginary parts s_k allows us to define continuous functions by

$$u_{j,k}(x) = p_x(r_j(x) + s_k(x)).$$

By definition, for all $x \in \Omega$ there is some j, k such that $z = r_j(x) + s_k(x)$ is a root of p_x . Thus,

$$\prod_{j,k} u_{j,k} = 0$$

and since P_C is prime, some $u_{j,k} \in P_C$.

Setting $a = \pi_{P_C}(r_j + s_k)$, and $p =$

$$\pi_{P_C}(f_0) + \pi_{P_C}(f_1)X + \cdots + \pi_{P_C}(f_{n-1})X^{n-1} + X^n,$$

it follows that $p(a) = \pi_{P_C}(u_{j,k}) = 0$, and we are done. \square

However, not all properties of hyper-real fields are shared by all the super-real fields:

Theorem 18 *There is a compact space Ω , and a prime z -ideal P in $C(\Omega)$ such that K_P is not semi- η_1 . \square*

On the other hand,

Theorem 19 *Let X be discrete space, and let P be a prime ideal in $C(\beta X)$. Then K_P is semi- η_1 .*

\square

V. Automatic Continuity

All hyper-real fields are real-closed fields and η_1 -sets. For a while it was thought that every real-closed η_1 -field is a hyper-real field. This is not the case:

Definition 13 *A prime ideal P in $C(\Omega)$ is exponential if and only if for every $g \in P^+$ with $g < 1$, it is the case that $1/\ln(1/g) \in P$.*

Notice every maximal ideal is exponential.

Definition 14 *Let K be an ordered field and let G be a convex subgroup of K . An exponentiation on G is a map*

$$\exp : G \rightarrow K^+ \setminus \{0\}$$

such that for all $a, b \in G$,

1. $\exp(a + b) = \exp(a)\exp(b)$,
2. $\exp(0) = 1, \exp(1) = e$,
3. $a < b$ implies $\exp(a) < \exp(b)$,
4. *the range of \exp is $K^+ \setminus \{0\}$.*

Theorem 20 *Let P be prime in $C(\Omega)$. Then there is an exponential on a convex subgroup G of K_P . If P is exponential, then we can take $G = P$.*

□

The proof of the theorem involves the development of an *operational calculus* for super-real fields.

Corollary 2 *Let K be hyper-real. Then there is an exponentiation on K .* □

Theorem 21 *There are real-closed η_1 -fields with no exponentiations in any of their convex subgroups. Thus, these fields are not super-real.*

□

Theorem 22 (*Kaplansky, 1949*) *If $\| \cdot \|$ is an arbitrary algebra norm on $C(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$, then for any $f \in C(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$,*

$$\|f\|_{\Omega} \leq \|f\|. \quad \square$$

So, if $\| \cdot \|$ is an algebra norm on $C(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$, then $\| \cdot \|$ is equivalent to $\| \cdot \|_{\Omega}$ if and only if for all $f \in C(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ there is an $M > 0$ such that

$$\|f\| \leq M\|f\|_{\Omega}.$$

This happens, for example, whenever $\| \cdot \|$ is complete (by the open mapping theorem).

Kaplansky's problem: Is every algebra norm on $C(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ complete?

Theorem 23 *Every algebra norm on $C(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ is complete if and only if every homomorphism from $C(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ into a Banach algebra is continuous.*

Proof If $\|\cdot\|$ is not complete, and \mathcal{A} is the completion of $(C(\Omega, \mathbb{C}), \|\cdot\|)$, then \mathcal{A} is a unital commutative Banach algebra, and the inclusion

$$i : C(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$$

is discontinuous.

Conversely, if \mathcal{B} is a Banach algebra and

$$\theta : C(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$$

is a discontinuous homomorphism, then

$$f \mapsto \|f\| = \max\{\|f\|_{\Omega}, \|\theta(f)\|\}$$

is an incomplete norm on $C(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$. \square

Theorem 24 *There is a discontinuous homomorphism from $C(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ into a Banach algebra if and only if there is a prime ideal P in $C(\Omega)$ such that \mathcal{A}_P is normable. \square*

Theorem 25 *(Dales; Esterle) Assume CH. Let P be a nonmaximal prime ideal in $C(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ such that $|\mathcal{A}_P| = \mathfrak{c}$. Then \mathcal{A}_P is normable. \square*

Under which conditions is \mathcal{A}_P normable?

Definition 15 *Let K be an ordered field extending \mathbb{R} .*

$$K^{fin} = \{ a : (\exists n \in \mathbb{N}) |a| \leq n \}.$$

Notice that $\mathcal{A}_P \subset K_P^{fin}$, and that K_P^{fin} is an algebra.

Open question:

- For which compact spaces Ω and prime ideals P in $C(\Omega)$ is K_P^{fn} normable?

Definition 16 *Let K be an ordered field. Its value set is*

$$\Gamma_K = (K \setminus \{0\}) / \sim,$$

where $a \sim b$ if and only if for some $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$|a| \leq n|b| \leq m|a|.$$

Theorem 26 *(Esterle) If K is an ordered field, and K^{fn} is normable, then $|\Gamma_K| \leq \mathfrak{c}$, and $|K| \leq 2^{\mathfrak{c}}$. \square*

Theorem 27 (CH) *Let \mathcal{U} be a nonprincipal ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} . Then*

$$(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}/\mathcal{U})^{fin}$$

is normable, so there are discontinuous homomorphisms from $C(\beta\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{C})$ into a Banach algebra. \square

Theorem 28 (Woodin) *It is consistent with MA that every homomorphism from any $C(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ into any Banach algebra is continuous. \square*

Theorem 29 (Todorćević) *Assume PFA. Then every homomorphism from any $C(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ into any Banach algebra is continuous. \square*

Theorem 30 *Assume GCH. Let K be an ordered field.*

1. *If $|K| > \aleph_2$ or $|\Gamma_K| > \aleph_1$ then K^{fin} is not normable.*
2. *If $|K| = \aleph_1$, then K^{fin} is normable.*
3. *If $|K| = \aleph_2$ then $|\Gamma_K| \geq \aleph_1$. \square*

Theorem 31 *Assume GCH. There is a compact space Ω and a non-maximal, prime z -ideal P in $C(\Omega)$ such that K_P is an η_1 -field, $|K_P| = \aleph_2$ and $|\Gamma_K| = \aleph_1$. \square*

Theorem 32 *It is consistent, relative to the existence of almost huge cardinals, that there is an ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on ω_1 such that if $K = \mathbb{R}^{\omega_1} / \mathcal{U}$, then*

$$|K| = \aleph_2 \quad \text{and} \quad |\Gamma_K| = \aleph_1. \quad \square$$

We close with an open problem:

Let K be any ordered field with $|K| = \aleph_2$ and $|\Gamma_K| = \aleph_1$. Determine whether K^{fin} is normable.

References

1. M.Y. Antonovskij, D.V. Chudnovsky, G.V. Chudnovsky, E. Hewitt, *Rings of real-valued continuous functions. II*, Math. Zeit., 151–186, 1981.
2. H.G. Dales, W.H. Woodin, *An introduction to independence for analysts*, LMS lecture note series 115, 1987.
3. H.G. Dales, W.H. Woodin, *Super-real fields*, LMS monographs 14, 1996.
4. L. Gillman, M. Jerison, *Rings of continuous functions*, GTM 43, reprint of the 1960 ed.
5. W. Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, McGraw-Hill, 1991.
6. S. Shelah, R. Jin, *Possible size of an ultrapower of ω* , Arch. Math. Log., accepted.